Logistic regression

11 Nov 2010

Logistic regression (EPFL) [Applied Statistics](#page-19-0) 11 Nov 2010 1 / 20

∢ □ ▶ ∢ ⑦

 \rightarrow

画

- Want to capture important features of the *relationship between* a (set of) variable(s) and one or more response(s)
- Many models are of the form

$$
g(Y) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \text{error}
$$

Differences in the form of g, f and distributional assumptions about $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the error term

- **Linear:** $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$
- Linear: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \epsilon$
- (Intrinsically) Nonlinear: $Y = \alpha x_1^{\beta}$ $x_1^\beta x_2^\gamma$ $\frac{1}{2}x_3^{\delta}+\epsilon$
- Generalized Linear Model (e.g. Binomial): log $\frac{p}{1-p} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x_2$
- **Proportional Hazards (in Survival Analysis)**: $h(t) = h_0(t) \exp(\beta x)$

- A simple linear model: $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$
- Gaussian measurement model: $\textsf{Y}=\beta_0+\beta_1 \textsf{x}+\epsilon, \epsilon$ $\textsf{N}(0,\sigma^2)$
- **More generally:** $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$, where Y is $n \times 1$, X is $n \times p$, β is $\rho\times 1$, ϵ is $n\times 1$, often assumed $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2I_{n\times n})$

- An important use of linear models
- Define a (design) matrix X so that for response variable Y : $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$
E(Y)=X\beta,
$$

where β is a vector of *parameters* (or contrasts)

 \blacksquare Many ways to define design matrix/contrasts

- For the standard (*fixed effects*) linear model, estimation is usually by least squares
- \blacksquare Can be more complicated with *random effects* or when *x*-variables are subject to measurement error as well

4 0 8

\blacksquare Examination of residuals

- Normality
- Time effects
- Nonconstant variance
- Curvature
- **Detection of** *influential observations*

4 0 8

Linear model

$$
Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)
$$

Another way to write this:

$$
Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad \mu = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k
$$

Suitable for a *continuous* response **NOT** suitable for a *binary* response

 \leftarrow

- Instead of modeling the response directly, could instead model the probability of '1'
- Problems:
	- $-$ could lead to fitted values outside of $[0, 1]$
	- normality assumption on errors is wrong
- Instead of modeling the expected response *directly* as a linear function of the predictors, model a *suitable transformation*
- For binary data, this is generally taken to be the *logit* (or *logistic*) transformation

■
$$
logit(p) = log \frac{p}{1-p} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k
$$

■ Therefore,

$$
p(x_1,...x_k)=\frac{\exp(\beta_0+\beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2+\cdots+\beta_kx_k)}{1+\exp(\beta_0+\beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2+\cdots+\beta_kx_k)}
$$

- **The parameter** β_k is such that $exp(\beta_k)$ is the *odds* that the response takes value 1 when x_k increases by one, when the remaining variables are constant
- Estimate parameters by $maximum$ likelihood

4 0 8

- In a standard linear model, the response variable is modeled as a normally distributed
- However, if the response variable is *binary*, it does not make sense to model the outcome as normal
- Generalized linear models (GLMs) are an extension of linear models to model non-normal response variables
- We are using *logistic regression* for a binary response

Generalized linear models: some theory

- **Allows unified treatment of statistical methods for several important** classes of models
- Response Y assumed to have exponential family distribution:

$$
f(y) = \exp[a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)]
$$

- **For a standard linear model** $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ **The expected response is** $E[Y | x] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k$ Let η denote the *linear predictor* $\eta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k$ For a standard linear model, $E[Y | x] = \eta$
- In a generalized linear model, there is a link function g between η and the expected response:

$$
g(E[Y \mid x]) = \eta
$$

For a standard [li](#page-10-0)near model, $g(y) = y$ $g(y) = y$ $g(y) = y$ $g(y) = y$ (*ide[nti](#page-10-0)ty li[nk](#page-11-0)*)

- When the response variable is binary (with values coded as 0 or 1), then $E[Y | x] = P(Y = 1 | x)$
- A convenient function in this case is $E[Y | x] = P(Y = 1 | x) = \frac{e^{i\eta}}{1 + \epsilon}$ $1+e^{\eta}$
- The corresponding link function (inverse of this function) is called the logit
- logit(x) = $log(x/(1-x))$
- Regression using this model is called logistic regression

← ロ ▶ → 伊 \rightarrow -4 ÷ ×

- \blacksquare The logit function g has many of the desirable properties of a linear regression model
- Mathematically convenient and flexible
- Can meaningfully interpret parameters $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- Linear in the parameters
- A difference: Error distribution is binomial (not normal)

- For linear regression, typically use *least squares*
- When outcome dichotomous, the 'nice' statistical properties of least squares estimators no longer hold
- The general estimation method that leads to least squares (for normally distributed errors) is *maximum likelihood*

- Likelihood: $f(x_i) = p(x_i)^{y_i} [1-p(x_i)]^{1-y_i}$
- Assuming independent observations, the likelihood $I(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)$
- og likelihood $L(\beta) = \log[l(\beta)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \log(p(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p(x_i)))$
- **■** To find β that maximize the log likelihood, differentiate wrt each β_i and set the derivative equal to 0
- \blacksquare In linear regression these equations are easily solved
- In logistic regression, these are nonlinear in β and are solved iteratively

つへへ

- In linear regression, an anova table partitions SST , the total sum of squared deviations of observations about their mean, into two parts:
	- SSE, or residual (observed predicted) sum of squares
	- $-$ SSR, or regression sum of squares
- **Large SSR suggests the explanatory variable(s) is(are) important**
- Use same guiding principle in logistic regression: compare observed response to predicted response obtained from models with/without the variable(s)
- Comparison based on log likelihood function

- In standard linear models, estimate parameters by minimizing residual sum of squares
- (Equivalent to ML for normal model)
- \blacksquare In GLM, estimate parameters by ML
- **The** *deviance* is (proportional to) $2 \times l$
- (Analogous to SSE)
- Obtaining 'absolute' measure of goodness of fit depends on some assumptions that may not be satisfied in practice
- Usually focus on comparing competing models
- When the models are *nested*, can carry out likelihood ratio test

つひひ

- In linear regression, consider coefficient significant if (squared) standardized value $\hat{\beta}/SE(\hat{\beta})$ is 'large'
- Can also do this for logistic regression (Wald test), but there are some problems with it
- **Preferred approach: likelihood ratio test**
- Deviance $D=-2\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\log\left(\frac{\hat{p}_i}{y_i}\right)$ yi $\Big) + (1-y_i) \log \Big(\frac{1-\hat p_i}{1-\nu_i} \Big)$ $1-y_i$ \setminus
- To compare models, compute $G = D$ (submodel) D(bigger model)
- Under the null (*i.e.* the submodel), $G \sim \chi^2$ with df $=$ difference in the number of estimated parameters

つひひ